

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Economics, Business and Accounting
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJEBA_85025
Title of the Manuscript:	Determinants of Maize Yields among Small-Scale Farmers at Household Levels in Mbinga District, Tanzania
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal’s peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of ‘**lack of Novelty**’, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajebea.com/index.php/AJEBA/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer’s comment	Author’s comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments	<p>I had the pleasure to read the manuscript titled “Determinants of Maize Yields among Small-Scale Farmers at Household Levels in Mbinga District, Tanzania”. The topic looks interesting, informative, comprehensive and important for coping with food security, maize production, improved maize yields and address the Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs) 1,2,3 & 13. I have highlighted some changes (track changes in the document).</p> <p>The introduction has a good background information, capturing the topic very well and putting to perspective the importance of the study in terms of global scale, regionally and locally.</p> <p>There is a good field data collection, analysis and presentation</p> <p>The Author has used consistently recent references of not more than five (5) years and mixed and balanced well with old reference which is commendable.</p> <p>This was such an excellent study which can drive proposed excellent government policy directives, regulations and laws and well research recommendations which can improve the maize yields and production.</p>	All comments were productive for nourishing the paper

PART 2:

	Reviewer’s comment	Author’s comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	