

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Economics, Business and Accounting
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJEBA_84756
Title of the Manuscript:	Public Policy Making: Issues and Challenges of Good Governance and Corruption
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal’s peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of ‘**lack of Novelty**’, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajebo.com/index.php/AJEBA/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer’s comment	Author’s comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>Check journal template.</p> <p>Article structure: abstract, introduction, literature review, methods, results and discussion, conclusion.</p> <p>Title: Too long, make it short 200-250 words include contributions, method, implications.</p> <p>Abstract: Add more keywords 5-8</p> <p>Introduction: Add research objectives. In introduction with direct paragraph. What the main problems? Research questions?</p> <p>Add more description of this, support with previous research and own justification. Why this research is important.</p> <p>Check citation?</p> <p>Check again for literature, too much definitions.</p> <p>Check methodology?</p> <p>Results and discussion?</p> <p>Check sources.</p> <p>Implications, further research and limitation study?</p> <p>Check all references and link.</p>	<p>Amended revision</p> <p>Done revision</p> <p>Corrected</p>
Minor REVISION comments	<p>Need proofreading</p>	
Optional/General comments		

[Review Form 1.6](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer’s comment	Author’s comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	