

## Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Economics, Business and Accounting</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJEBA_84602
Title of the Manuscript:	Effect of COVID 19 on the total production of Sardines in Bulan, Sorsogon, Southern Luzon, Philippines
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajebo.com/index.php/AJEBA/editorial-policy>)

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<p>The manuscript has important messages of unfolding impact of COVID 19 on Sardine production and marketing, which directly linked to food and security and livelihood of many Filipinos. The manuscript presents some research based both on primary and secondary data and should be considered for publication in AJEBA. A few issues, however, need to be addressed:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. In Title something like –“Impact of COVID... instead “Effect of COVID .... “ would be more meaningful</li><li>2. In “Abstract” section, some critical issues especially socioeconomic aspects are not addressed. Rewording of abstract needs for improvement. I offer some issues that the author/s needs to consider in the abstract.</li><li>3. Intro is well written but needs to be a bit shorter; My concern is that the introduction is a bit too long and you'll lose the reader. However, rewording/rephrasing of the last two parts of “Introduction” section to clarify the goal would be helpful to the readers. I offer some issues that the author/s needs to consider in this regard.</li><li>4. Methodology is well stated; no comments</li><li>5. Results- I offer some issues that the author/s needs to consider</li><li>6. Conclusion overstated and need rewording for shortening</li></ol>	Complied. Thanks.
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	In the article, somewhere the phrase used as “Sardine fishery” or (Sardine's fishery) (Sardines' fishery). Clarify the issue with appropriate form. My suggestion is “Sardine fishery”	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	Correction/comments/suggestion made in the body of the manuscript with a view to improving the quality of the article	

[Review Form 1.6](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer’s comment	Author’s comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<u>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</u>	