

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Economics, Business and Accounting
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJEBA_84602
Title of the Manuscript:	Effect of COVID 19 on the total production of Sardines in Bulan, Sorsogon, Southern Luzon, Philippines
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajebo.com/index.php/AJEBA/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none">1. The research problem is not clear2. The author makes a lot of assumptions and there are contradicting statements (at some degree the author assumes that there was a negative effect on production of sardines, see for example 6th paragraph in introduction, but the main conclusion is that the production was not affected first paragraph in conclusion.3. The income analysis has not been stated a priori as one of research objective4. The Methodology used to analyse the stated specific objectives, eg. Identify factors that affected sardines' fishery production was not discussed5. I am particularly concerned with the comparisons of the quantity of sardines in different periods of the year and the assumption that the decline in income is mainly due to Covid-19 using descriptive statistics. I believe that there may be other factors that were not taken into account. This approach may provide misleading results	Some comments were addressed. However, the author may some ways not conform with the comments of the reviewer. Some of the comments are actually incorporated in the paper. They may have missed the point in the paper.
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	Generally, there is no full stop before the reference source in the text. See for example second period, paragraph 2 in introduction section.	Addressed already.
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	Interesting research topic. It would be good to add policy implications as the Covid-19 has not yet come to an end. This would help policy makers in taking appropriate measures in case where other waves occur.	

[Review Form 1.6](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer’s comment	Author’s comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	