

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Economics, Business and Accounting
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJEBA_84207
Title of the Manuscript:	MICROFINANCE FINANCIAL STRATEGIES AND BUSINESS GROWTH OF WOMEN ENTREPRENEURS IN GBOKO, BENUE STATE NIGERIA
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajebo.com/index.php/AJEBA/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's commen	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Abstract Need to be more precise• Rationally explain every contents of your title in a sequential manner in introduction section.• Summarize review of literature section.• Notify sampling technique adopted to select sample size?• Is your sample size same as population? Clarify.• Study design or methodology don't convey clear message. Justify.• Mention which model specifically you used in your Methodology section?• Correct the mistakes indicated in the manuscript	1. The abstract has been worked upon with the mindful of 300 words limit. 2. The all the contents have been captured in the introduction section. 3. The reviewed literature has been summarized after the theoretical framework. 4. Yes, the population doubled as the sample size and has been clarified. 5. Census technique was used; therefore, the population and sample size are same since the population is small in nature that the researchers can cover. 6. The study adopted the model of Morgan,Elijah and Ngacho (2019) with slight modifications.
Minor REVISION comments	Check font all over documents	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	