

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Cardiology Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJCR_80391
Title of the Manuscript:	PAINLESS AORTIC DISSECTION: A RARE AND ATYPICAL CLINICAL PRESENTATION
Type of the Article	Case study

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajcr.com/index.php/AJCR/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	<p>1. When the patients'aortic dissection developed? If the autohrs think it's the patient's first visit, to mention the relationship about patient's digestive symptom and aortic dissection.</p> <p>2. Was the initial chest X-ray really normal. If so, isn't the onset after the first visit? Please mention what the authors think about this point.</p> <p>3. It is better to describe the characteristics of painless aortic dissection in a little more detail. It is mentioned in several reports that aortic dissection with neurological symptoms had a high frequency of painless, so why not cite it? (PMID:24030403, 28633904)</p>	<p>1- 19 days before consulting the Cardiologist, in it mentioned in the text.</p> <p>2- Yes it was normal based on the the report of the emergencydepartment consulted at the beginning, but it was not done again in the cardiology depart, in favour of the Thoracoabdominal CT.</p> <p>3- Considered, thank you.</p>
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	