

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Cardiology Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJCR_79752
Title of the Manuscript:	ACUTE MYOCARDITIS AFTER COVID-19 INFECTION IN A YOUNG PATIENT: A CASE REPORT
Type of the Article	Case study

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal’s peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of ‘**lack of Novelty**’, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajcr.com/index.php/AJCR/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer’s comment	Author’s comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	<div>1) The MRI pictures are of poor quality. Better ones should be obtained.</div> <div>2) The authors should consider also LGE in the pericardium, although no pericardial effusion was found. The EKG looks like pericarditis initial stage!</div> <div>3) Why where not the full Lake Louise Criteria for the assessment of myocarditis applied?</div> <div>4) Myocarditis in the definition of many pathologists needs myocyte necrosis proven by histology (Dallas classification). Elevated Troponin levels will not do it!</div> <div>5) Viral myocarditis by SarsCov-2 needs also demonstration of virus in cardiac tissue.</div> <div>6) Did the patient recover after a longer period of time?</div> <div>7) You mention that all markes auf autoimmune disease were done and negative? Have you considered autoimmune myocarditis with determination of anticardiac antibodies (ASA, AMLA, antimyosin, anti intercalated disc antibodies(AIDA)</div>	<div>All the points have been considered</div> <div>Thank you</div> <div>6) yes after a 6 months follow up</div>
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	This is an interesting case-report. Please see comments for improvement	Thank you

PART 2:

	Reviewer’s comment	Author’s comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	