

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Case Reports in Surgery
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJCRS_89968
Title of the Manuscript:	Foreign body extraction in presence of severe airway edema in pediatric cases
Type of the Article	Case report

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajcrs.com/index.php/AJCRS/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments	<p>The authors need to verify some minimal typos inside the manuscript, for example in the second case description, in the beginning there is no specification for the sex of the patient. Inside the discussion, the authors mentioned other authors, but there is no reference for that specific author, please change the it as this example: De Kruid et al⁹.</p> <p>At the end of discussion the authors mentioned the references like this 10-13-14, please change it for the correct form</p> <p>The references cited inside the manuscript doesn't have a correct order please change to the correct order, in the other hand, please verify the mention of all citations included as references.</p> <p>The second case doesn't have figures to show the edema, as well the first case showed 3 figures, the first and second one doesn't apports information to the case, it will be better if you have a imagine before and after the treatment, or an imagine for the 1st bronchoscopy and in the other side other for the 2nd bronchoscopy. The cases have some lack of information ... please extend it</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none">- Typo and references were revised.- Sex mentioning wasn't mentioned for patient privacy and it wouldn't affect addressing the case management plan.- references were revised as advised by the reviewer- Figures for the second case aren't available. Imaged after treatment aren't available either

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	