

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Case Reports in Surgery
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJCRS_86666
Title of the Manuscript:	Case Report of a Novel Technique for the Repair of Proximal Perforations in Necrotizing Enterocolitis
Type of the Article	Case report

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajcrs.com/index.php/AJCRS/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments	<p>There are a few grammatical errors which can be corrected by a grammar check on any software.</p> <p>The notion of doing a gastrostomy in a duodenal or proximal jejunal perforation is not exactly a novel technique but is definitively an innovative approach to such cases. Please mention at what level the tip of the gastrostomy tube was placed and what was the nature of the gastrostomy output in the first post-operative week. Was any dye study done before starting gastrostomy feeds?</p>	Firstly, thank you for taking the time to review the paper. All comments were taken into consideration and changes were made accordingly (highlighted).
Optional/General comments	<p>Keeping in mind that the gastrostomy was kept for decompressive/drainage purposes, the baby could have been started on oral feeds and the gastrostomy clamped.</p> <p>Instead of “novel technique of repair”, a better way to frame the title would be “innovative way of managing proximal perforations”</p>	Firstly, thank you for taking the time to review the paper. All comments were taken into consideration and changes were made accordingly (highlighted). Title changed.

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	