

## Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Case Reports in Surgery</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJCRS_85431
Title of the Manuscript:	Terrible Triad of the Right Elbow Joint: A case report
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajcrs.com/index.php/AJCRS/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments		
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. The article is a "case report", not an "original research article".</li><li>2. The paper follows the structure that is asked by the journal. Abstract has less than 250 words and the paper does not exceed 2000 words, 20 references or 5 figures.</li><li>3. There are some grammatical errors (spelling, punctuation, etc.) in the text that need to be corrected.</li><li>4. Figures should be self-explanatory. Figures 1 and 2 need description.</li><li>5. Section "4. Prognosis" should be part of section "3. Discussion".</li></ol>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. Yes we are aware. It is a case report. Corrected.</li><li>2. Abstract word count = 110, while, Total paper words = 1372</li><li>3. We have gone through and it's now corrected.</li><li>4. We have added descriptions</li><li>5. We have corrected.</li></ol>
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	No