

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Case Reports in Surgery
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJCRS_84499
Title of the Manuscript:	Pleural Fibroma Presenting as Spontaneous Pneumothorax in Late Pregnancy, an Exceedingly Rare Co-occurrence: A Case Report
Type of the Article	Case study

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal’s peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of ‘**lack of Novelty**’, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajcrs.com/index.php/AJCRS/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer’s comment	Author’s comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	Structure the summary. It should include: A brief context, the problem being discussed in the work, the objectives, the methodology and the main results and conclusions. Introduction: The beginning of the introduction must present a summary of the proposal, with the reasons that justify the choice of topic: what motivated you to choose your topic of study, what is the usefulness of the results obtained by the research, what is the purpose of the proposal. A text introducing the subject to be studied must be used, with at least two paragraphs.	<ol style="list-style-type: none">1. We note with gratitude your comments on the abstract. We have added an introduction in the abstract and made substantive changes in the case presentation segment to ensure compliance to the 250-word limit. Some changes were made to the discussion and conclusion segment to ensure no repetition of any subject matter.2. With regards to the Introduction, thank you for the comments. We have addressed these comments in our revised Introduction section of the paper. We discuss the scarcity of the case and why we felt the necessity to write this case.
Minor REVISION comments	The work needs to adjust the formatting according to the rules of the journal, the figures need to be cited and referenced.	The figures have been cited in the original document. I have highlighted the texts which reference the figures.
Optional/General comments	I suggest accepting the job, after some major improvements have been made. The study addresses a relevant theme, is with an easy-to-understand writing and will add more knowledge on the subject.	We thank you for the kind review and comments and we have made the necessary changes as mentioned above.

PART 2:

	Reviewer’s comment	Author’s comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	