

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Case Reports in Surgery
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJCRS_83979
Title of the Manuscript:	GASTRIC SCHWANNOMA: CASE REPORT AND LITERATURE REVIEW
Type of the Article	CASE REPORT

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajcrs.com/index.php/AJCRS/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Why was a USG guided percutaneous FNA done ? An endoscopy was done and the authors could have easily and safely done an endoscopic targeted biopsy which could have given an earlier diagnosis.2. Why did the authors not consider giving Imatinib therapy beforehand to the patient in view of large size of tumour even though she was only in PARTIAL GOO and not having any haemorrhage or other serious constitutional symptoms ?	<ol style="list-style-type: none">1. The FNAC was done in another centre and patient presented to us with the report. The slides of the same could not be retrieved for analysis at our centre and thus the validity of the report is questionable. Also since biopsy is sometimes associated with tumor seeding we weren't in favour of it.2. We were not expecting a multi-visceral resection and the benefit from imatinib therapy thus was questionable.
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	No