

## Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Case Reports in Surgery</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJCRS_83701
Title of the Manuscript:	SPOTANEOUS RUPTURE OF URINARY BLADDER: A Case Report
Type of the Article	Case report

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajcrs.com/index.php/AJCRS/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<p><b>In the discussion, no comment has been made on the possible cause for the spontaneous rupture of this patient's bladder.</b></p> <p><b>Any evidence to sow that extra-peritoneal spontaneous bladder rupture is also possible to occur?</b></p>	<p>We could not attribute any specific etiology as a cause of bladder rupture in our patient.</p> <p>As per reviewers suggestions , we have cited an article which has mentioned the possibility of extraperitoneal bladder rupture .</p>
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	<p>It will be useful if the oblique views of the cystogram images are included, which would also remind readers the need to look at these views routinely to rule out any posterior wall bladder perforation</p>	<p>We regret to inform the reviewer that we had not done an oblique view cystogram for this patient. Once the patients cystogram was showing evidence of bladder rupture , the authors deemed it necessary to proceed with exploratory laparotomy immediately for repair of the bladder rupture.</p>
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	<p>Well written interesting case-report</p>	<p>Authors would like to thank the reviewer for suggestion of inclusion of extraperitoneal bladder rupture cases in article.</p>

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<p><u>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</u></p>	