

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Case Reports in Surgery
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJCRS_83701
Title of the Manuscript:	SPONTANEOUS RUPTURE OF URINARY BLADDER: A Case Report
Type of the Article	Case report

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajcrs.com/index.php/AJCRS/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>The article has a lot of written mistakes, and the redaction is deficient. I corrected over the manuscript with red colour trying to improve the quality. The authors repeat patient so many times and they could avoid a lot of parts of the text.</p> <p>There is an article in PUBMED that is not cited :</p> <p>Spontaneous rupture of urinary bladder: a case report and review. Albino G, Bilardi F, Gattulli D, Maggi P, Corvasce A, Marucco EC.Arch Ital Urol Androl. 2012 Dec;84(4):224-6.</p>	We have corrected the mistakes as pointed out by the reviewer. We have also included the article suggested by the reviewer in our citations.
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	