

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Case Reports in Surgery
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJCRS_83031
Title of the Manuscript:	Mucocele of the Appendix causing acute appendicitis with a rare phenomenon
Type of the Article	Case study

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajcrs.com/index.php/AJCRS/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none">1. I would suggest that the authors follow the reporting guideline for case reports CARE (link to equator-network that provides an updated list of all reporting guidelines) to increase the transparency of the reporting. Please upload the checklist. Especially 1, 5c, 5d, 7, 9a, 9b, 9c, 10b (negative cytology? negative resection margins? with absence of appendiceal nodes? Macroscopical/microscopical perforation?), and 13 would add positively to the case description.2. Please provide a statement on the patient consent so this is clear to the reader as well, e.g. as proposed by the author guideline ""All authors declare that 'written informed consent was obtained from the patient (or other approved parties) for publication of this case report and accompanying images. A copy of the written consent is available for review by the Editorial office/Chief Editor/Editorial Board members of this journal.'"3. You discuss the benign and malignant types of mucocoele, but the impact on the postoperative course for the patients is missing, please add.4. You describe the mucocoele as "Sago Pearl" like, another term used is myxoglobulosis, have you consider using this term and looking at this term in the literature?	<p>Compulsory revision comments</p> <p>Care checklist</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. The words case report is added5c. Relevant information added5d. Past treatment added7. Current information organized as a timeline9a, 9b, 9c. Therapeutic intervention added10. Follow up diagnostic tests mentioned13. Patient consent form attached <p>Provide a statement on the patient consent</p> <p>The patient consent form is attached</p> <p>Add the impact on the postoperative course of the patient</p> <p>Postoperative course added</p> <p>Another terminology as myxoglobulosis</p> <p>Thank you for introducing to the new terminology.</p> <p>Added the details regarding myxoglobulosis with literature review.</p>
Minor REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none">1. The manuscript is not a case study, but rather a case report as it describes one patient case.2. Neither appendix (in the title) nor mucocoele (manuscript) should not be capitalized.3. Title: add "case report" to the title as recommended by CARE guidelines.4. Please add references to the statements in the he introduction section as recommended by the author guideline "(...) a brief literature survey (...)"5. Review the manuscript for two spaces in a row, " ", there are several instance.6. References have not been formatted correctly according to author guidelines (example: "Hilly M, Adams ML, Nelson SC. A study of digit fusion in the mouse embryo. Clin Exp Allergy. 2002;32(4):489-98."), please correct.	<p>Minor revision comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Manuscript is not a case studyYes. Corrected it as case report.2. Neither appendix nor mucocoele should not be capitalizedYes. Changed as per instructions

Review Form 1.6

		<p>3. Title: Add case report to the title</p> <p>Yes. Added case report to the title</p> <p>4. Add references to the statements in the introduction section.</p> <p>Yes. Added references to the introduction section</p> <p>5. Review the manuscript for two spaces in a row</p> <p>Yes. Reviewed as instructed</p> <p>6. References have not been formatted correctly</p> <p>Yes. References has been reformatted</p>
Optional/General comments	<p>1. I applaud the authors for the fine pictures provided.</p>	<p>Optional/General comments</p> <p>1. I applaud the authors for the pictures</p> <p>Thank you very much</p>

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	