

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Case Reports in Medicine and Health
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJCRMH_77129
Title of the Manuscript:	Case Report: Acute transient’s psychotic disorders
Type of the Article	Case study

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of ‘**lack of Novelty**’, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://peerreviewcentral.com/page/manuscript-withdrawal-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer’s comment	Author’s comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	1. There are numerous “Grammatical” mistakes. So, corrections and language editing are obligatory. (Major).	Okay
Minor REVISION comments	2. Unfortunately the "Title" is not representing the manuscript. There is a big hole between the "Title" and the text. (Minor). 3. The “Abstract” is very bad-written. Please, reconstruct it again and delete all subheadings in the " Abstract " (Major). 4. The “ Keywords ” of the “ Abstract ” are too insufficient. Please, add more keywords. (Minor). 5. No need for all "Subheadings titles" in the " Introduction and Case presentation ". Please, remove all subheadings and deal with these sections in writing as one unit. (Minor). 6. Regarding the " Objective " quietly have no innovation. The question for the author (s); What is the innovative issue in this case report?!!!! 7. The " Case presentation " is too long and untargeted. Please, summarize and reconstruct it again. (Minor). 8. The " Conclusion " needs reconstruction. (Minor). 9. Please, complete the “ Reference No.1, 2, 3, and No.4 ”. (Minor).	All the necessary corrections were done as indicated
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer’s comment	Author’s comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	