

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Biochemistry, Genetics and Molecular Biology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJBGMB_86396
Title of the Manuscript:	Evaluation of Phytochemical contents and antioxidant activity of <i>Mentha spicata</i> (spearmint) Grown in Kano, Nigeria
Type of the Article	Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal’s peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of ‘**lack of Novelty**’, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajbgmb.com/index.php/AJBGMB/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer’s comment	Author’s comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none">This is an interesting study and I think that the data are informative about the Evaluation of Phytochemical contents and antioxidant activity of <i>Mentha spicata</i> (spearmint). However, in my opinion the paper has some shortcomings in regards to some data analysis and text. Below I have provided numerous remarks on the text as it is often vague.	Corrected
Minor REVISION comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none">Please focus on your study and your results regarding to anti-oxidant activity. I suggested to focus on scientific results and reanalyse results of your manuscripts.Please clarify sentences according to grammatical rules.The font size and line spacing was not significantly adjusted.	<ul style="list-style-type: none">NotedClarifiedAdjusted
Optional/General comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none">My view that author makes an effort to contribute in literature but minor concerns regarding the relation between the prior literature and the currents results are not satisfactory. Its an important to cross check it.	Corrected

PART 2:

	Reviewer’s comment	Author’s comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	No