

Review Form 1.6

| | |
|--------------------------|--|
| Journal Name: | Asian Journal of Biochemistry, Genetics and Molecular Biology |
| Manuscript Number: | Ms_AJBGMB_85579 |
| Title of the Manuscript: | Comparative Evaluation of Sutherlandia frutescens and Tulbaghia violacea Antioxidant Potential |
| Type of the Article | |

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajbgmb.com/index.php/AJBGMB/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|--|---|---|
| <u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments | | |
| <u>Minor</u> REVISION comments | | |
| <u>Optional/General</u> comments | The manuscript is relevant to the area of study, there is a study that explores the benefits of the extract and the question of well-used tests to verify the reactions. I recommend publishing the manuscript. | Ok |

PART 2:

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|--|---|---|
| Are there ethical issues in this manuscript? | (If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details) | |