

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Biochemistry, Genetics and Molecular Biology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJBGMB_85579
Title of the Manuscript:	Comparative Evaluation of Sutherlandia frutescens and Tulbaghia violacea Antioxidant Potential
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajbgmb.com/index.php/AJBGMB/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	The work needs to add experiments of qualitative and quantitative assay of formed phytochemical compounds in each plant extracts	Prior studies on the phytochemical analysis (qualitative and quantitative) of <i>S. frutescens</i> and <i>T. violacea</i> have been conducted. The references to the studies have been included in the discussion section of the manuscript and have been highlighted in yellow.
Minor REVISION comments	The comments are found in yellow colour in the attached article	All comments in yellow have been addressed.
Optional/General comments	Some paragraphs need to justify the writing font the present of references recently was 51.8% .	The manuscript has been formatted appropriately.

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	