

## Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Biochemistry, Genetics and Molecular Biology</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJBGMB_84558
Title of the Manuscript:	Single Nucleotide Polymorphisms At +45 T>G And At +276 G>T Of The Adiponectin Gene And Plasma Adiponectin Level In Myanmar Type 2 Diabetic Patients
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajbgmb.com/index.php/AJBGMB/editorial-policy> )

### **PART 1:** Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b><u>Compulsory</u></b> REVISION comments	<p><b>Abstract:</b> I recommend adding in this section a brief Introduction on the research topic. Also narrow down the topic on Results, exploring better in the corresponding section of the full text.</p> <p><b>Introduction:</b> I recommend describing the acronyms used in the text. Make a better characterization of Diabetes and the different types. In this section some excerpts are not referenced, I recommend to authors to add the references in this section.</p> <p><b>Methodology:</b> Attach the Ethics Committee approval form to the end of the manuscript, or inform in this section the approval number of the aforementioned committee. Describe and identify the equipment used to carry out the analyses.</p> <p><b>Results:</b> I recommend that authors reconfigure the presentation of the data, using graphs, to facilitate the reading and interpretation of the data presented. Add diagrams or explanatory images about the topics.</p> <p><b>Discussion:</b> In this section, I recommend that authors use more up-to-date references.</p>	<p>Thank you for the reviewer's comment. I revised as much as I can according to the suggestions. I think, now the manuscript become more better than the previous one. Thank you for your kind support.</p>
<b><u>Minor</u></b> REVISION comments		
<b><u>Optional/General</u></b> comments		

Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	<b>Reviewer’s comment</b>	<b>Author’s comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	<b>There is no special ethical issues in this manuscript</b>