

## Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Biochemistry, Genetics and Molecular Biology</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJBGMB_79640
Title of the Manuscript:	Dopamine's role in psychopathology and biochemistry of brain
Type of the Article	Revision

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajbgmb.com/index.php/AJBGMB/editorial-policy> )

### **PART 1:** Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b><u>Compulsory</u></b> REVISION comments	This is a Revision about dopamine system importance on brain function and disfunction. Unfortunately, is so badly written that is impossible to accept. As I understood, the authors claimed that more research is necessary to state the dopamine role in brain functions and disfunctions exhaustively described in scientific literature. What kind of research could the authors suggest? For example, more research in human, using PET scan.	It was revised in the manuscript
<b><u>Minor</u></b> REVISION comments	The list of "References" needs to be edited and enlarged.	<b>It was revised in the manuscript</b>
<b><u>Optional/General</u></b> comments	The received manuscript appears to be the first draft.	<b>It was revised in the manuscript</b>

### **PART 2:**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>  Maybe, because the authors claimed in the abstract that the present knowledge about dopamine system role in the brain role needs to be researched. The manuscript is so unclear that any certain about is difficult to state.	<b>It was revised in the manuscript</b>