

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Biotechnology and Genetic Engineering
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJBGE_86042
Title of the Manuscript:	ANTIMICROBIAL EVALUATION OF BIOLOGICALLY SYNTHESIZED SILVER NANOPARTICLES USING AQUEOUS PEEL EXTRACTS OF GUAVA (<i>Psidium guavaja</i>) AND PUMPKIN (<i>cucurbita pepo</i>).
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://journaljabb.com/index.php/JABB/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	<div><div>1. Correct the grammatical errors</div><div>2. What was the proportion/concentrations of the two peels for making the aqueous extracts?</div><div>3. Figure clarity needs to be improved. Unable to read the legends.</div><div>4. In the SEM image the shapes of the particles can't be identified as mentioned in the manuscript.</div><div>5. No values were listed in table 3 and table 4.</div><div>6. Correct the typo errors. For example:<div><div>1. The antibacterial activity of the synthesized</div><div>2. The respective supernatant of Guava.....</div></div></div></div>	<div><div>1. CORRECTED</div><div>2. STATED</div><div>3. IMPROVED</div><div>4. THAT IS THE ONLY CLEARED IMAGE WE OBTAINED FROM THE MACHINE</div><div>5. YES THAT IS HOW IT IS INDICATED</div><div>6. OK CORRECTED.</div></div>
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

1. CORRECTED

2. STATED

3. IMPROVED

4. THAT IS THE ONLY CLEARED IMAGE WE OBTAINED FRM THE MACHINE

5. YES THAT IS HOW ITS INDICATED

6. OK CORRECTED.

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	NO ETHICAL APPROVAL NEEDED.