

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Biotechnology and Genetic Engineering
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJBGE_83956
Title of the Manuscript:	Plant bioactives and biotechnological approaches to enhance their production and bioactivity
Type of the Article	Review

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajbge.com/index.php/AJBGE/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	The paper entitled- Plant bioactives and biotechnological approaches to enhance their production and bioactivity is a good attempted paper. However, it is a mess! 1. Information is on and there and no logical flow. 2. Some sections are really well described and dig the subjects well. But some sections are very poor and too superficial. 3. You are talking about plants, but inside you are describing invertebrates. 4.I couldn't figure out what is the aim and the objectives of this paper. 5. Also, what authors expected to conclude. 6. The topic should be completely changed and the content should be revised. 7. No up to date references. Please remove as many as old references and go for a new one from 2019-2022. 8. I can even predict what the paper is finally suggesting and the outcome. 9. There are many more language errors. So the paper should be checked by a native speaker and corrected. 10. Authors should follow the journal guidelines, especially the reference. References should be revised. Text citation should be checked again. Using et al and viz.? Text citation should be in the references list. Re check all before you submit. 11. Also, references are missing in many places. I have included many other comments in the Manuscript. Please do the corrections accordingly. This paper needs significant corrections.	Comment accepted and considered
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	