

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Biotechnology and Genetic Engineering
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJBGE_80597
Title of the Manuscript:	Assessment of Heavy Metal Contamination and Physicochemical Parameters of Sachet Water Sold in Bukuru Market of Jos south Local Area of Plateau State, Nigeria
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajbge.com/index.php/AJBGE/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	The author has to go through the manuscript and observe the minor corrections I made inside the text and effect it accordingly.	All observations made by the reviewer were noted and necessary corrections have been effected.
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	Though, the subject area already had a lot of work suggesting how important the area is to human health, the paper contributes to the existing information and knowledge. It is well-written and concise. However, the author(s) failed to give a clear critique of the health implications of the observed concentrations of the heavy metals being significantly higher than the control groups in his discussion. Also, there is no consistency in referencing in the reference page. The author should check and write according to the referencing style and format as required by the journal	More points were added to buttress the health implication of the metals when they are significantly elevated above the control. Referencing style has been corrected as observed by the reviewer and also made to be consistent in all the pages.
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	