

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Advanced Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJARR_88390
Title of the Manuscript:	A Conceptual Framework to Measure the Relationship between Social Media and Decision-Making Process of Plastic Surgery Consumers in Jordan
Type of the Article	Review Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajarr.com/index.php/AJARR/editorial-policy>)

PART 1:ReviewComments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment(<i>if agreedwithreviewer, correct the manuscript and highlightthat part in the manuscript. It ismandatorythatauthorsshouldwritehis/her feedback here</i>)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Consumer word is inappropriate even if it is used for cosmetic surgery patient . Patients are patients and not consumers.2. A conceptual framework has been made but no mention on how to implement it.3. Negative effects of social media like patient blackmailing for their own gains and rival ganging up together to defame a good doctor has not been taken into account.4. Spelling mistake on (page no. 2) instead of SNS , SMS is written .	Future research will implement the conceptual framework Spelling mistakes corrected
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment(<i>if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here</i>)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	