

## Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Advanced Research and Reports</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJARR_84483
Title of the Manuscript:	Determinants of Voluntary HIV/AIDS Counseling and Testing among university students in Ghana
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajarr.com/index.php/AJARR/editorial-policy> )

### **PART 1:** Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments		
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	<p>The revised manuscript brings up lost opportunities of HIV testing, especially among high-education level students, during actual pandemic time. Although the biggest limitation of the study is the bias in selecting, the strength of the study comes from the cohort itself.</p> <p>I recommend adding a few minor revisions to the manuscript:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>- The study population consisted of 119 students, but what was the target population? How many students?</li><li>- I suggest include an OR graph to add a better explanation of the data. Visual concepts enhance the quality of the manuscript and support conclusions.</li></ul> <p>Overall, the manuscript is clearly written, the data are well presented, the conclusion and discussion consistent with the findings</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• The target population was 110 but after data collection we had 119</li><li>• Usually results are presented in tables or graphs and not both. Since I have presented my results in Tables, adding graphs will simply be duplication of the results</li></ul>
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

### **PART 2:**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	