

Review Form 1.6

| | |
|--------------------------|--|
| Journal Name: | Asian Journal of Agricultural and Horticultural Research |
| Manuscript Number: | Ms_AJAHR_87682 |
| Title of the Manuscript: | Mushroom cultivation for Increasing income and sustainable development of small and marginal farmers |
| Type of the Article | Review Article |

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajahr.com/index.php/AJAHR/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|--|---|---|
| <u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments | The title reflects the content of the manuscript. The current study is an opinion-based article on a topical issue of general interest, which is intended to generate discussion. - has a content of 2500 words, 1 table, 1 figure and up to 15 references - the content of the manuscript is presented in a less scientific scholastic. - The abstract contains up to 300 words - the abstract is less concise, it is theoretically not within the typology of the scientific abstract. - the abstract has no keywords. - introduction is described extensively, studies on the current state of mushroom cultivation in INDIA, mushroom types, table 1 and figure could be briefly introduced in the material and method. - material and method must be remade with the addition of the suggestions mentioned above. - there are no results and discussions in the article, the progress made by the students could be considered - there are no conclusions in the article, also the future perspectives could be considered. - the authors of the text, had to be specified e.g. [1] .. - the authors of the bibliography had to be numbered in the order of their appearance in the text. - the table and the figure are not specified in the text of the article | All the necessary corrections were done as indicated |
| <u>Minor</u> REVISION comments | | |
| <u>Optional/General</u> comments | - the article must be completely revised, the information presented must be correctly included in the standard editorial sections (introduction, material and method, results and discussions, conclusions). | Noted |

PART 2:

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|--|---|---|
| Are there ethical issues in this manuscript? | <u>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</u> | |