

## Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Agricultural and Horticultural Research</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAHR_82192
Title of the Manuscript:	Effect of Spacing and Pruning Methods on Root Yield and Yield Parameters of Cassava (Mahinot esculenta Crantz ) in Fedis District, East Harerghe Zone, Ethiopia
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajahr.com/index.php/AJAHR/editorial-policy> )

### **PART 1:** Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	The References are not adequately numbered and not arranged serially in the body text [7] comes before [5] and [6], [12] comes before [8], [9] and [10]. Even [4] and [11] are missing.	Done
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	The author needs to describe methods (in the methodology) used to carried out or measured or performed or achieved parameters such as root length, root diameter, number of root per plant, average root weight, marketable root yield, unmarketable root yield and total root yield.	Done
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	The manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound, it provides information on plant spacing and pruning methods on root yield and root yield parameters of cassava plant.	Done

### **PART 2:**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

As per the guideline of editorial office we have followed VANCOUVER reference style for our paper.

Kindly see the following link:

<http://sciencedomain.org/archives/20>