

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics & Sociology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAEES_89427
Title of the Manuscript:	ADOPTION BEHAVIOUR OF FARMERS TOWARDS IMPROVED CULTIVATION PRACTICES OF SOYBEAN CULTIVATION IN DIMAPUR DISTRICT OF NAGALAND, INDIA.
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajaees.com/index.php/AJAEES/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none">1) The abstract should be restructured to a maximum of 200 words only.2) The paper doesn't follow the structure of the paper. Its looks like a dissertation.3) In the introduction part author mentioned Wikipedia as a reference, It won't accept a paper. The author should refer to the original paper instead of going to Wikipedia. The introduction should be restructured and should highlight the research problem.4) In <i>material and method</i>, the author doesn't discuss the method they used in this paper.5) In the discussion part end author should highlight the summary of the study.6) In conclusion author should briefly highlight the research problem and your study result and how it will add to the current literature.	Corrected Revised Done
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	