

## Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics &amp; Sociology</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAEES_89427
Title of the Manuscript:	ADOPTION BEHAVIOUR OF FARMERS TOWARDS IMPROVED CULTIVATION PRACTICES OF SOYBEAN CULTIVATION IN DIMAPUR DISTRICT OF NAGALAND, INDIA.
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajaees.com/index.php/AJAEES/editorial-policy> )

### **PART 1:** Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<b>Abstract:</b> The abstract is too long, revise to capture aims, methodology and results in summary <b>Introduction:</b> Some claims by the authors require citations (see review comments on the manuscript for details) <b>Data Analysis:</b> The use of Pearsons' correlation (Table 4) is not appropriate for categorical data. <b>Suggestion:</b> Authors should use a non-parametric measure of correlation to suit the type of variables measured; the use of Pearson correlation for categorical data is unacceptable. <b>-In Table 2</b> the authors have listed questions on the recommended practices which they sought to establish the extent to which farmers had knowledge on. Some of the questions are unrealistic eg. The use of "Carbendazim or Thiram @2g/kg before sowing or talc formulation of Trichodermaviridae @4g/kg for seed treatment". This is a technical question suitable for Extension agents, NOT farmers.	Corrected  Done  Done
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	-Refer to Review comments on the manuscript	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

### **PART 2:**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	