

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics & Sociology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAEES_89150
Title of the Manuscript:	A Comparative Study on Knowledge Level of Paddy Growers about Natural Farming and Conventional Farming practices
Type of the Article	Original research

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://peerreviewcentral.com/page/manuscript-withdrawal-policy>)

Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments	<p>The topic of the research is practical and contemporary</p> <p>The abstract is adequate, it represents the paper in its parts.</p> <p>The introduction and background are appropriately clear and streamlined. Used relevant references and updated them to support the subject.</p> <p>The literature review is adequate, relevant, streamlined, and very sufficient to support the research objective. Plus supported with relevant and updated references.</p> <p>Methodology representation is clear. However, it needs some more info [see suggestion]</p> <p>Results are clearly represented in Tables and are sufficient to support the discussion.</p> <p>Discussion is adequate, clear, and streamlined. Furthermore, it is validated with relevant citations.</p> <p>The conclusion is clear.</p> <p>Recommendations reported are fine</p> <p>Are there any limitations to the research???</p> <p>References Review carefully the write-up of the references for consistency and match with journal requirements... Make sure all citations are reported and there are no extra references not used in the text!!!</p> <p>Proofreading is needed</p>	Limitations included sir
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	No sir