

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics & Sociology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAEES_88583
Title of the Manuscript:	A GENDER ANALYSIS ON THE INVOLVEMENT OF FARMERS IN RICE-BEAN (Vigna umbellata) PRODUCTION ACTIVITIES IN THE SELECTED REGIONS OF NYANZA, KENYA
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajaees.com/index.php/AJAEES/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>On the subject of I find it interesting because of the region it represents.</p> <p>It is important to provide more data on the variety of legume in question and its productive context in the region studied.</p> <p>On the other hand, clearly define beans-rice (Vigna umbellata) and write in such a way that it is not confused if it is one or two crops, using a single form of expression when mentioning beans-rice, not beans and rice or white beans</p> <p>It seems to me that it is necessary to contextualize the production system in which the cultivation of beans-rice is developed</p> <p>It is evident that "Household nutritional status, food security and gender are essentially connected. There are numerous sociocultural differences in the role of women in agriculture; however, women are the main producers of basic crops in the world", influences the results, however, these issues are not highlighted in their discussion</p> <p>It is important to highlight the level of technification of the region and specifically of the crop studied</p> <p>Data in this regard would be expected from the equation $PAI=LP+P+W+PA+H+T+D+W$</p>	Agreed with the reviewers comments and the parts have been corrected and highlighted in the manuscripts.
Minor REVISION comments	Clearly separate where results end, and conclusions begin	Agreed and separation done
Optional/General comments	Order the methodology in such a way that it does not have to be repeated in the results In the same way the results so as not to be repetitive	Agreed and methodology removed from the results

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<p>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</p> <p>Being an investigation where there is data of people, it is important to declare the use that will be given to the results and how to save the identity of the people</p> <p>There must be clear information and consent of the participants</p>	