

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics & Sociology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAEES_88459
Title of the Manuscript:	A Study on factors influencing the market participation decision of Paddy farmers in Odisha
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajaees.com/index.php/AJAEES/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>The authors must develop the abstract section as follow Problem, Objectives, Methods, Main results, Main recommendation.</p> <p>I recommend the authors to present the novelty of this research compared to previous research.</p> <p>The authors must improve the conclusion and the recommendation to help the researchers in this filed.</p>	<p>Author attempts to study the various factors both intrinsic and extrinsic in influencing the decision to participate in the market of paddy farmers. The author provides the way to future research in this direction by incorporating other factors of influencing the decision of market participation which will help in formulating appropriate policies in this direction.</p> <p>The study establishes its novelty in carrying out the research in the paddy farmers of Odisha focusing on all the categories of farmers. Earlier the study focused exclusively on small and marginal farmers across various study areas. The study attempts to transcend the scope of research as well as keeps future scope of research open.</p>
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	No