

## Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics &amp; Sociology</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAEES_88382
Title of the Manuscript:	Assessing the strategies for coping with academic stress among the undergraduate students of TNAU
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajaees.com/index.php/AJAEES/editorial-policy> )

### **PART 1:** Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<b>Reflect the corresponding standard deviation to all descriptive tables with reported mean to see the amount of spread/dispersion of responses since it could happen that the mean scores of some items are the same but different amount of dispersion.</b>  <b>No discussion on normality test. Did the researcher assume that the data is drawn from a normally distributed population? If yes, state it in the manuscript. If not better to perform the normality test to justify the use of z-test, instead of t-test for independent samples or Mann-Whitney U-test</b>	Added all standard deviation near the corresponding mean scores.  Rectified the mistakes and carried out the corrections in the analysis part.
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	The manuscript did not present the results of the interview as what was earlier stated in the methodology (second paragraph). This is useful to triangulate results of the quantitative findings to make the conclusion more valid and generalizable.	Made the correction
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

### **PART 2:**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	No ethical issue