SCIENCEDOMAIN international

www.sciencedomain.org



SDI EDITORIAL COMMENTS FORM

EDITORIAL COMMENT'S on revised paper (if any)

1. Although the authors adopted and followed the reviewers' comments and suggestions, the manuscript 2022/AJAEES/88275 remains without any interest. The paper is missing originality, a serious methodology (only frequencies presented), and the manuscript presents serious flaws (there is not an existing discussion section, the conclusion is poor and there are repetitions, references missing, etc.).

Authors' response to editor's comments

Dear editor, I have altered the conclusion. Regarding originality, I have conducted survey properly and the results were true to my knowledge. As it was an adoption study, we have measured the variables using only frequency and percentage. All the authors were involved in discussing the results and the conclusion. Since this was an ex post facto study, the results what we got were factual things. So, we have merged the results and discussion parts. We have repeated overall results and other things in abstract and as well as in results, since we feel that it will help to understand and to remember the key findings. References were highlighted.

Created by: EA Checked by: ME Approved by: CEO Version: 1.5 (4th August, 2012)