

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics & Sociology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAEES_88275
Title of the Manuscript:	A Study on Adoption of TNAU Released Small Onion Variety CO (On) 5 among Farmers of Perambalur District, Tamil Nadu
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajaees.com/index.php/AJAEES/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>Please, do the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">-At first, follow the journal style.- The keywords were simple, Change keywords. The keywords were ordered alphabetically.-The discussion & results section was edited and recommended items were added.-Abstract is poor. Add more detail. <p>The abstract does not satisfy with publication standard as shown below; Does the abstract summarize the paper's objectives, main thrust and major conclusions? Please consider whether or not the Abstract conveys clearly the purpose of the study, provides a balanced and accurate depiction of the key findings, and addresses the implications of the work for spatial information Science. Could a person read the abstract and get a clear sense of what the article will be about? Will the key words enable other professionals to locate the work with the search engines commonly used by academic libraries? What about the conclusion? Does the manuscript give a sense of revisiting the main ideas briefly? Does it give the reader a feeling that all of the ideas have been tied together?</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">-The introduction section was rewritten and more sources were added.-More articles should be discussed, especially among the international literature. <p>Shojaei, S., Alipur, H., Ardakani, A. H. H., Nasab, S. N. H., & Khosravi, H. (2018). Locating Astragalus hypsogeton Bunge appropriate site using AHP and GIS. Spatial Information Research, 26(2), 223-231.</p> <p>Malamiri, H. R. G., Aliabad, F. A., Shojaei, S., Morad, M., & Band, S. S. (2021). A study on the use of UAV images to improve the separation accuracy of agricultural land areas. Computers and Electronics in Agriculture, 184, 106079.</p> <p>Poudineh, Z., Fakheri, B. A., Sirosmehr, A. R., & Shojaei, S. (2018). Effect of drought stress on the morphology and antioxidant enzymes activity of Foeniculum vulgare cultivars in Sistan. Indian Journal of Plant Physiology, 23(2), 283-292.</p> <p>Poudineh, Z., Fakheri, B. A., Sirosmehr, A. R., & Shojaei, S. (2018). Genetic and morphological diversity of fennel by using ISSR marker and biplot analysis. Indian Journal of Plant Physiology, 23(3), 564-572.</p> <p>Fathizad, H., Pakbaz, N., Sodaiezadeh, H., & Shojaei, S. (2017). Exploring canola planting area using AHP associated with GIS in Meymeh–Zarinabad of Iran. Spatial Information Research, 25(3), 371-379.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">-An article primarily includes the following sections: introduction, materials and methods, results, discussion, and conclusion. Please, follow it.-All references should be APA style based.	I have done the correction and highlighted those in manuscripts.
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	