

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics & Sociology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAEES_88095
Title of the Manuscript:	Attitude of Kinnow Growers Toward Drip Irrigation System in Haryana State, India
Type of the Article	Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajaees.com/index.php/AJAEES/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	(mean score = 1.94), (mean score = 1.64), (mean score = 1.59), (mean score = 1.56) and (mean score = 1.55) and so on ALL should be change to symbol of mean as \bar{X}) or Capital (M). Change mean scores by the use of symbol rather than in words, that is the standard of research reporting (see manuscript as corrected).	Word mean score has been replaced with capital M.
Minor REVISION comments	When Compulsory REVISION comments are effected as above, it will BE OKAY!	
Optional/General comments	All observations and corrections were inserted on the manuscript by the Reviewer. AUTHOR should carefully takes note of them.	Correction has been made as indicated in the manuscript

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	