

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics & Sociology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAEES_88043
Title of the Manuscript:	Constraints Faced by Loom Weavers Related to Production and Marketing of Weaved Products in Bhagalpur District of Bihar
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajaees.com/index.php/AJAEES/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	<p>Abstract: This section is well written according to the journal format, abstract writing rules and the purpose of the research.</p> <p>Introduction: Well organized according to the purpose of the research and the literature summary. However, it can be supported by new literature information in the world.</p> <p>Martials and Method: This section is organized according to the purpose of the research. The analysis of the research data was chosen in accordance with the study data.</p> <p>Results and Discussion: The results of the research have been adequately discussed and evaluated with the previous literature.</p> <p>Conclusion: This section is well written according to the research findings and the purpose of the research.</p> <p>Reference: Current literature on the subject can be added.</p>	Sir/Mam as per my concern with your instruction I obtained these changes.
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	The research is original, if it is published, it can contribute to the studies and literature on the same subject.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	There is no ethical issue in manuscript as per said.