

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics & Sociology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAEES_87724
Title of the Manuscript:	Efficacy of Holt-Winters Forecast on Seasonal Time Series Crop Insurance Data with Structural Outliers
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajaees.com/index.php/AJAEES/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	Title page: The researcher must include the study period in the title. abstract An abstract briefly explains the salient aspects of the content. Abstracts should be accurate and succinct, self-contained, and readable. The abstract should paraphrase and summarize rather than quote from the paper. Summary or Abstract should be formulated according to the methodology recognized in the journal. Introduction: The introduction is simple compared to the size of the study. Add something related to the methodology used, for example, seasonal time series Figs: The graphs need a source, for example, the researcher prepared the results of data analysis using the (E-views10) package. Data: The data used in the analysis, the researcher must display it in a table and examine it using natural descriptive measures	Title: Study period included Abstract: Modified Introduction: Modified Figs: source added Data: Data is for 38 data points and cannot be presented in table. Mean and deviation is included in the text.
Minor REVISION comments	Coordination, arranging, organizing, and following up in sequenc	Modified
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<u>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</u>	