

## Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics &amp; Sociology</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAEES_87303
Title of the Manuscript:	LIVELIHOOD PATTERNS AGRICULTURAL LABOURERS IN THREE DISTRICTS OF ANDHRA PRADESH
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajaees.com/index.php/AJAEES/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<b>1. Author has to rewrite the description of study area, sample size and sampling techniques which given in the part of abstract. Because, it is contradictory with materials and methods in the paper.</b> <b>2. At the same, the part of materials and methods should also rewrite because, total sample size is not mentioned as given in abstract.</b> <b>3. Author has to check 7<sup>th</sup> and 8<sup>th</sup> lines of materials and methods, it seems like repeated.</b>	Noted  Amended  Done revision
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	1. Second objective "To develop a strategy to improve the livelihood security of agricultural labourers" seems like exposing policy and recommendations. Hence, it need not to be as one of the objective. But, the study expose first hand statistical report only for the first objective.  2. Under the result and discussion, the content of second subtitle has a sentence in red colour.  3. The major lack of the study is absent to present any statistical tools.  4. Literature cited need to be renamed as 'References'.	Noted and effected  Revision amended  Done
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	1. Author has taken congratulatory work in knowing the livelihood status of farmers which is so essential for now a days.  2. Introduction part of the paper clearly developed with expected statistical reports.	Corrected

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	