

## Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics &amp; Sociology</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAEES_86495
Title of the Manuscript:	Comparative study of Paddy cultivation in Ghaggar River Belt and Salt affected Micro farming Situation in Zone 1b of Rajasthan
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://peerreviewcentral.com/page/manuscript-withdrawal-policy>)

## Review Form 1.6

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>(i) ABSTRACT: Results on returns and resource use efficiency are missing, with relevant recommendations</li><li>(ii) INTRODUCTION: Structure of the article is relevant but lacks substance when it comes to problems statement, Interest of the subject, research Questions/ Objectives and hypotheses, as well as literature Review</li><li>(iii) LITERATURE REVIEW: There is lack of authoritative arguments; references are bitterly missing, especially in support of the Results and Discussion section (only page 13 and 15 are discussed)</li><li>(iv) RESULTS: Page 14-15, F test (Regression ANOVA) results are missing to validate <math>R^2</math> in Table 17 and 19</li><li>(v) DISCUSSION: very poor; only results on pages 13 and 15 are discussed</li><li>(vi) CONCLUSION: is written like a Wishlist but shall be a quick review of problems statement, Interest of the subject, research Questions and Objectives as well as a hypothesis testing</li></ul>	Revised
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>(i) Change TITLE to: "Comparative Costs and Advantages between Large and Small Rice Farms of Ghaggar River Belt and Zone 1b of Rajasthan"</li><li>(ii) Pages 3: The Cost of rent for leased-in land is factored twice in Cost <math>B_2</math> (first in <math>B_1</math>, then in <math>B_2</math>)</li><li>(iii) Pages 3-4: Replace <u>Goss</u> Income by <u>Gross</u> Income  Replace <u>Elastices</u> by <u>Elasticities</u></li></ul>	Noted
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>(i)</li><li>(ii) TABLES: Indicate sources for all the tables (e.g. Farm survey (Author, 2022))</li><li>(iii) RESULTS AND DISCUSSION: separate into two different subsections</li><li>(iv) REFERENCING: the system seems to be dual APA-MLA and inconsistent by nature, with poor literature.</li><li>(v) STYLE AND QUALITY OF WRITING: There is originality but coherence sometimes is lacking. Correct mistakes and errors of syntax, grammar, spelling, vocabulary, etc.</li></ul>	Noted

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	