

## Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics &amp; Sociology</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAEES_85761
Title of the Manuscript:	Trends in Area, Production, and Productivity of Groundnut in Rajasthan
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajaees.com/index.php/AJAEES/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<b>This manuscript came up with relevant topic/area of research. However, it is a most poorly and carelessly written work that requires major corrections. Some of the indicators are:</b> i. It lacks focus, clarity and grammatical accuracy ii. Is not informative either for researchers, practitioners or policy makers. For the reason, the statistical figures/empirical results/ are almost not discussed with sound interpretations, comparison with empirical references, and policy implications. iii. The methodology section needs further elaboration iv. The validity of the secondary data obtained should be ensured for the reader	All of those elements in the manuscript have been modified in response to a reviewer's comments, with a priority on, clarity, and grammatical accuracy.
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	Literature review section requires rewriting	The literature review section was updated in response to a reviewer's feedback, with an emphasis on clarity and grammatical accuracy.
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	