

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics & Sociology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAEES_85746
Title of the Manuscript:	Sources of technical guidance utilized by orange growers for production and marketing of oranges
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajaees.com/index.php/AJAEES/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none">- Abstract: Includes introductory statement. Rephrasing is required.- Introduction: Should be supported by references.- Materials and Methods: Missing information required such as: 1) In which base the utilization type (low, medium and high) was identified? 2) Details about the sources of technical guidance should be stated? 3) Statistical analysis should be applied. 4) Clarifications regarding the questionnaire applied for table (2) data.- Results and Discussion: 1) Poor discussion. Try to improve it. 2) State and unify the units and format. 3) Tables and figures should stand alone. Information should clearly stated. 4) Bhople et al. (2000) did not listed in the References section.- References: 1) Should be updated after 2012. 2) Bhople et al. (2012) did not mentioned in the manuscript.	I have corrected the manuscript and highlighted that part in the manuscript.
Minor REVISION comments	Suggestions and comments are attached	
Optional/General comments	Suggestions and comments are attached	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	No