

## Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics &amp; Sociology</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAEES_85594
Title of the Manuscript:	Awareness of safe plant protection measures among vegetable growers in Prayagraj district of Uttar Pradesh
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajaees.com/index.php/AJAEES/editorial-policy> )

## Review Form 1.6

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<b>Methodology</b> section the author to indicate the research design used, sampling procedures, <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>- Give a brief description of the research instrument</li><li>- Describe how data was analyzed and specify the statistical models used in analysis if any</li><li>- Provide a discussion for the research findings in table one</li><li>- Table 2 the data in subsections should be presented separately for ease of discussion.</li><li>- The researcher to Indicate the research findings in the conclusion and avoid generalization by use of terminologies such as majority.....</li><li>- The recommendation is not in line with the current study based on the objectives stated</li><li>- Do more literature review, references are too few</li></ul>	I agreed with reviewer, I corrected the manuscript and highlighted that part with yellow colour.
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	<b>Abstract:</b> There is need to indicate the problem the study was addressing, objective and justification for the study -Indicate how you arrived at the sample size of 120 -Method of data analysis should be shown- - Add conclusion and recommendation section statements in the abstract  <b>Introduction</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>- Edit grammar as highlighted in the document</li><li>- (Sources: Vegetables, State Directorates of Horticulture, 2021). The source is wrongly cited</li><li>- Some sources of literature are not cited (refer to manuscript)</li><li>- Why are citations in bold? Consider removing the bold</li><li>- Give examples of risks caused by pesticides to human health and the environment</li><li>- Title of tables 2 and 3 &amp; Figure 1 are the same. Has the author just repeated data presentation?</li><li>- Avoid bolding the citation and titles</li><li>- Label the figures correctly</li><li>- Results presented in figure one has neither interpretation nor discussion</li></ul>	I agreed with the reviewer, I corrected the manuscript but I didn't agree with the point results presented in figure one has neither interpretation nor discussion because whatever in the figure that is already described below the table number 3 and figure is the only presentation of table number 3.
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>- Table one should be reorganized, some data could be presented as bar charts, pie charts etc</li><li>- Some acronymns have been used without definition of full meaning e.g What is OBC, ST and SC as used in the table of results</li></ul>	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	No, there are no ethical issues in the manuscript..