

## Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics &amp; Sociology</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAEES_85319
Title of the Manuscript:	Returns to Wheat Research, Varietal Adoption and Turnover Rates, and Wheat Production Risks in Kenya
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajaees.com/index.php/AJAEES/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments		
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments		
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>- In figure 1 the chart must not include 2017</li><li>- Equation 1 and 9 need more clarifying and definition.</li></ul>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. I agree with the authors comments and I have deleted 2017 from the chart</li><li>2. I agree with the reviewers comment on equation 1 and 9 . I have given more clarification and re-defined the equations</li></ol>

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p> <p>Yes</p> <p><b>Ethical issues</b></p> <p>These are issues arising from the ubiquitous data collection, sharing and use of agricultural data, particularly as they relate to the legal and regulatory frameworks on data ownership, access and use, and in so doing, highlight some of the</p>	<p>Informed consent was obtained from all subjects involved in the study.</p>

Review Form 1.6

	<p>concerns that the respondents who are in this case famers have identified over the increasing accumulation, collection and use of agricultural data.</p> <p>Ethics in Research matter for scientific integrity, human rights and dignity, and collaboration between science and society. These principles make sure that participation in studies is voluntary, informed, and safe for research subjects.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. Informed consent was obtained for Voluntary participation of participants, in this case the respondent (farmers). Farmers were asked to give a concent of whether they were willing to participate or not (Informed consent, in this case of the respondent (farmers))</li><li>2. Anonymity of the respondent (farmers) as sources of the data that was collected from them.</li><li>3. Confidentiality of the data that was collected from them. This is because farmers lack trust in the way in which their farm data is collected and managed</li><li>4. Potential for harm, of the respondent farmers.</li><li>5. Results communication following this research.</li></ol>	
--	---	--