

## Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics &amp; Sociology</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAEES_85100
Title of the Manuscript:	Knowledge and Skill Assessment of Farmers regarding Cherry Cultivation Practices. The case of District baramulla of Jammu and Kashmir (UT), India
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajaees.com/index.php/AJAEES/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<p>The study is based on the data collected from horticulture zone Tangmarg of district Baramulla of Jammu and Kashmir (UT), which consists of 34 fruit growing villages out of which 06 villages were selected purposively having maximum area under cherry fruit cultivation. From the selected 06 villages, 120 cherry growers were selected through proportionate allocation method. The study shows that majority (46.66%) of the cherry growers were having medium level of knowledge regarding various cherry cultivation practices.</p> <p>1 - The overall idea presented by the paper is well acceptable. 2- English should be improved in all the paper. 3- References list need to be updated. 4- The organization of the paper is convenient in general. 5-writing is generally good.</p>	Comment accepted and considered
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	<p>1 - References could be reinforced by published papers for enriching. 2 - The proofreading is required because there are some grammatical mistakes.</p>	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	<p>1 - References list need to be updated.</p>	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	