

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics & Sociology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAEES_84417
Title of the Manuscript:	An Analysis on Constraints Perceived by the Farmers in Adoption of Conservation Agriculture Practices in Selected Districts of Tamil Nadu
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajaees.com/index.php/AJAEES/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

.	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>The present study is very useful for the Government to plan the promulgation of proper training and awareness on the Novel concept of CA(Conservative Agriculture) to the farmers of the Tamilnadu based on the exhaustive survey of the farmers of the three Districts of Tamilnadu viz.Cuddalore, Viluppuram and Tiruvarur districts respectively. The Table 1-5 are quite exhaustive but ,the period of study is confined to last five years or so i.e.2017-21 with a few references of 2007 and 2008.The Study is appreciated and recommended for the publication in the journal for the future young researches ot innovate the new tools for CA.</p> <p>The impact of weather e.g Precipitation could have also been given the due cognizance by selecting the few rain shadow areas of Coimbatore District in Tamilnadu.</p> <p>No doubt the efforts of the authors are appreciated and recommended for the publication for the motivation of youngsters to take up more exhaustive technical studies on CA not only for Tamilnadu but for the other some Northern State e.g.of Punjab and then Correlate CA statistically to reach more useful scientific conclusions</p>	Necessary modifications were made to the article
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	