

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics & Sociology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAEES_84270
Title of the Manuscript:	Assessment of Information and Communication Technology Tools' Usage in Agricultural Extension among Peasant Cassava Farmers in Rangwe Sub-County, Kenya
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajaees.com/index.php/AJAEES/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	Results and Discussion section: * The presentation of results should be simple and straightforward in style. This section reports the most important findings, including results of statistical analyses as appropriate and comparisons to other research results. The discussion should be supported suitable references. Select only *most important *Figures, Tables, and Equations. The Figures, Tables and Equations should be prepared by yourself (or by courtesy) in high quality forms.	The authors agreed with the reviewer's comments, and improved presentation of the results and discussion as marked on the revised manuscript. Some results in the figures have been merged into table 1 for more clarity as marked on the manuscript. Ethical issues were used in the study. The authors agreed and added the ethical issues in the manuscript as marked. The ethical issues included: 1. Informed consent 2. Voluntary participation 3. Plagiarism 4. Fraud – <i>Fake data, false methodology and results</i> 5. Misuse of privileges 6. Privacy – <i>Respect</i> 7. Confidentiality – <i>Information gathered</i> 8. Anonymity – <i>Identity of persons</i>
Minor REVISION comments	Check the English language of the paper (there are some language errors)	The language has been proof-read and certified as proposed.
Optional/General comments		Thanks for the good word.

Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	Ethical issues were used in the study. The authors agreed and added the ethical issues in the manuscript as marked. The ethical issues included: 9. Informed consent 10. Voluntary participation 11. Plagiarism 12. Fraud – Fake data, false methodology and results 13. Misuse of privileges 14. Privacy –Respect 15. Confidentiality – Information gathered 16. Anonymity – Identity of persons