

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics & Sociology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAEES_84270
Title of the Manuscript:	Assessment of Information and Communication Technology Tools' Usage in Agricultural Extension among Peasant Cassava Farmers in Rangwe Sub-County, Kenya
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajaees.com/index.php/AJAEES/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>The choice of subject is judicious.</p> <p>The methodological criteria of an article seem mostly respected.</p> <p>The author draws conclusions from a prospective study conducted among 106 cassava farmers in a county in Kenya. Moreover, he contrasts his findings with those of other researchers who have conducted more extensive studies of agricultural activities in Kenya.</p> <p>The interpretation of the results is not well done. The author makes speculations and does not deepen his analysis.</p> <p>The author uses different figures to present the results of the survey.</p> <p>The correlation technique turned out to be interesting. Thus, the analysis reaches a certain level of abstraction when it comes to explaining the use of NICTs in relation to variables such as age, gender, level of education, average income, etc.</p>	<p>The authors agreed with the reviewers' comments and made the corrections as marked on the corrected manuscript (Ms_AJAEES_84270).</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">a) Results interpretation was improved and detailed.b) The different figures were merged in table 1 and improved.c) More explanation was added on the correlation statistics to compare the use of ICT tools and selected factors independently. <p>The ethical issues applied in the study have been added to the manuscript as proposed herein.</p> <p>The ethical issues include:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">1. Informed consent2. Voluntary participation3. Plagiarism4. Fraud – <i>Fake data, false methodology and results</i>5. Misuse of privileges6. Privacy – <i>Respect</i>7. Confidentiality – <i>Information gathered</i>8. Anonymity – <i>Identity of persons</i>
Minor REVISION comments	<p>The manuscript is accepted for publication on the condition of making some minor revisions relating to the remarks raised above.</p>	<p>The authors agreed and made the corrections as proposed.</p>
Optional/General comments		<p>The authors acknowledged your kind effort.</p>

Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	<p>The ethical issues applied in the study have been added to the manuscript as proposed herein.</p> <p>The ethical issues include:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">9. Informed consent10. Voluntary participation11. Plagiarism12. Fraud – <i>Fake data, false methodology and results</i>13. Misuse of privileges14. Privacy –<i>Respect</i>15. Confidentiality – <i>Information gathered</i>16. Anonymity – <i>Identity of persons</i>