

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics & Sociology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAEES_84142
Title of the Manuscript:	ECONOMIC EVALUATION OF FRONT LINE DEMONSTRATION ON SOYBEAN CULTIVATION IN MADYA PRADESH
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajaees.com/index.php/AJAEES/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none">1. The manuscript can be revised as indicated in track changes mode.2. All changes and remarks suggested should be incorporated in the text.3. The references should be cross checked with those appearing in reference section and DOI should be mentioned wherever it is available.4. The reference section should be revised in the style as prescribed by the journal5. The statistical tools adopted are not sufficient. Parameters to evaluate the impact like technology gap, extension gap and Technology index can be included to make the manuscript more meaningful.	<p>I am very Thankful to the suggestion provided by the reviewer</p> <p>The unnecessary changes suggested by the reviewer have been done and incorporated in the manuscript.</p> <p>The changes regarding references style have been made and also as per suggestion DOI have been added and references were crossed checked.</p> <p>As per the suggestion provided parameters to evaluate impact have been added, further to make manuscript more understandable and meaningful parameters like technology gap, technology index and extension gap have been added in the manuscript.</p>
Minor REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none">1. The corrections suggested in blue color should be incorporated and those in red strike through should be deleted2. Proper reconstruction of sentences should be made to make it more meaningful wherever it is indicated in the text.	<p>For correction suggested in blue and red strike colour changes have been made.</p> <p>Content in the manuscript has been restructured to make it more meaningful.</p>
Optional/General comments	<p>Front line demonstrations play an important role in motivating the farmers to adopt proven technologies in crops and contribute to increase the yield potentials of crop. This will subsequently increase the income and improve the livelihood of the farming community. The present study emphasized the need to educate the farmers in adoption of improved technologies to narrow down the extension gap through various technology transfer centers. Hence the manuscript can be accepted after incorporation of all the corrections suggested in the manuscript.</p>	<p>The general comments suggestion given by the reviewer has been added .</p>

Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	