

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics & Sociology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAEES_84059
Title of the Manuscript:	Willingness to purchase electric two wheelers in Coimbatore district of Tamil Nadu
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajaees.com/index.php/AJAEES/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Abstract needs an introduction before proceeding with the aim, method etc. It could be a brief introduction to the Two-wheeler industry in the country / current scenario of electric Two-wheeler.2. Age, gender, monthly income etc. could be mentioned as demographic variables.3. Key words need to be minimum four or five. They could be electric Two-wheeler, Buying behaviour, Environment issues, and Consumer perception.4. Mention the objectives and hypotheses separately and clearly.5. Write separately the findings and conclusions.6. Numbering is very important. E.g. 1. Introduction.2. Review of literature etc.	Yes, sir I have missed the part of current scenario of electric two wheeler. I have mentioned Age, gender, monthly income, as demographic variable. The keywords are added & I have added objectives in the corrected manuscript and I have writed separately findings and discussion. I have Done numbering also.
Minor REVISION comments	The simple percentage method can be used to highlight the number of male / female and other demographic variables in a separate table clearly.	Its ok sir but for my study I have carried out this method
Optional/General comments	A good paper is a minimum 2500 words.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	