

## Review Form 1.6

|                          |   |
|--------------------------|---|
| Journal Name:            | <a href="#">Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics &amp; Sociology</a>                                    |
| Manuscript Number:       | Ms_AJAEES_83993   |
| Title of the Manuscript: | Extent of Participation and decision making pattern of women in family related activities in rural areas of Telangana |
| Type of the Article      |   |

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajaees.com/index.php/AJAEES/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

|                                     | Reviewer's comment   | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|-------------------------------------|--|---|
| <b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments | <p>Dear authors,</p> <p>This is an interesting paper. However I feel that you should to improve literature review. You have only 5 references in the end of the paper. At the same time I suggest to clarify the research problem and the purpose of the paper in the first section. Moreover in the <b>introduction</b> I suggest to present the structure of the paper.</p> <p>In relation to <b>methods</b> and did not understand that you use. Please clarify table 1 and 2. Did you did a questionnaire or an interview to collect the information? Can you give us a sample of the questionnaire/interview?</p> <p>Can you add some citations to give a more scientific aspect of your metododology?</p> <p>The <b>Conclusion Section</b> is very poor. You did not relate this section with literature review. Please add the limitations of the study, implications for theory and practise as also paths for future research.</p> <p>Good Work for the next steps!</p> | <p>Corrected</p> <p>Revised</p> <p>Done</p> <p>Done</p>   |
| <b>Minor</b> REVISION comments      | <p>Please add the period of the empirical research and clarify why do you choose 150 women.</p>  |   |
| <b>Optional/General</b> comments    |  |   |

Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

|  | Reviewer's comment   | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|--|--|---|
| Are there ethical issues in this manuscript? | <i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i> |   |