

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics & Sociology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAEES_79867
Title of the Manuscript:	Impact assessment on attainment of Social status by MGNREGA beneficiaries in Tamil Nadu
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajaees.com/index.php/AJAEES/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	The problem investigated in this paper should be defined formally. The motivation behind their proposal should be clearly stated. The contributions of this work should be highlighted in the introduction.	Problem of statement was explained
Minor REVISION comments	1. The abstract does not state the objectives and motivation of the study. 2. The author should give an explanation about Figure 1. 3. The authors should show more descriptions of future research. 4. The authors should add the last three years of literature on the study.	The objective was explained in the abstract. Figure 1 was explained Future research was clearly stated Recent literatures were added
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	No ethical issues