

## Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics &amp; Sociology</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAEES_79064
Title of the Manuscript:	A Tool to Measure Entrepreneurial Skill among Agripreneurs
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajaees.com/index.php/AJAEES/editorial-policy> )

### **PART 1:** Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b><u>Compulsory</u></b> REVISION comments	<p>Kindly add the few points about research findings in abstract.</p> <p>Add some two more keywords.</p> <p>Kindly write the research findings in simple past tense. As the research was over.</p> <p>Add some more about sampling procedure and number of samples, study area in methodology.</p> <p>First write Operationalization and administration of indicators and then below add research findings.</p> <p>Discuss your major findings and your suggestions and implication of the study in conclusion.</p> <p>Write the reference according to the journal format.</p>	<p>Added findings of research in abstract Key words added Study area and methodology has been added Implication and suggestions has been added As per format, reference has been modified.</p> <p>The study is developmental procedure of index and the final index has been standardized by using reliability and validity. This index can be used by researcher to measure the skill. The major finding of the study is the tool of skill index, the identified components and its judges rating.</p> <p>Thank you sir/ mam for your valuable comments.</p>
<b><u>Minor</u></b> REVISION comments		
<b><u>Optional/General</u></b> comments	<p>Congratulations to the author for good research work. All the best for your future research work.</p>	

Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<u>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</u>	

As per the guideline of editorial office we have followed VANCOUVER reference style for our paper.

Kindly see the following link:

<http://sciencedomain.org/archives/20>