

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics & Sociology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAEES_78269
Title of the Manuscript:	Causes and policy options of recent rice price hike in Bangladesh
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajaees.com/index.php/AJAEES/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>The authors must develop the abstract section as follow Problem, Objectives, Methods, Main Results, Main Recommendation. I suggest the Authors: This paper seems to be covering a very interesting topic, investigating the Causes and policy options of recent rice price hike in Bangladesh. While the topic is potentially interesting, I found this paper nearly challenging to read because the language was particularly difficult. Many sentences seemed to try to smash together 3 or 4 ideas into a single, awkward sentence that used a variety of clauses to try to link the ideas. I found myself having to reread nearly every sentence to extract meaning. The authors must add the recommendation to help the researchers in this filed. You can see the following papers and add them as a references</p> <p>Eliw, M. Alim, S., S. and Soliman, S., A. (2022). Impact of Agricultural Policy on Egyptian RICE. The Journal of Animal and Plant Sciences, 32(2).</p> <p>Eliw, M., Ismail, O. A., & El-Bardisy, H. A. (2019d). Impact of Agricultural Price Policy on Major Crops in Egypt. Asian Journal of Economics, Business and Accounting, 13 (12): 1-13.</p>	<p>We are grateful to the reviewer for his/her valuable comments.</p> <p>We have organized the abstract in the proposed manner. However, we could not elaborate the abstract due to the word limit. The abstract touches the problems, objectives, methods and recommendation to attract the authors to go through the manuscript for further inquiry.</p> <p>We also tried to simplify the sentence for delivering the message. Also tried to revise as suggested by the reviewer.</p> <p>We reviewed the proposed papers and add policy options accordingly. We are grateful to the reviewer.</p>
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	